Ghanaian Journal of Economics
ISSN (Print): 2309-8945
ISSN (Online): 3057-3742
Volume 13 (2025)

The Cedi at Sixty and the Case for Resource-Backed Money

Yegandi Imhotep Paul Alagidide

University of Ghana, Accra, Ghana; University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa; Nile Valley Multiversity, Techiman, Ghana

Abstract

The Ghanaian currency, the Cedi, turns sixty in 2025, yet public discourse surrounding its performance and prospects remains largely superficial. Much of what is known about the Cedi is mediated through undigested econometric studies, episodic media reports, speculative commentary, and periodic central bank statements—none of which are anchored in analytical or empirical inquiry. This absence of grounded scholarship has produced a cyclical narrative that simply rehearses inherited monetary logics, leaving fundamental blind spots unchanged and allowing existing weaknesses to persist unchallenged. This article departs from these monotonic interpretations by situating the Cedi as an emblem of postcolonial sovereignty, institutional fragility, and constrained economic development. We critique dominant fiat-based arrangements and advance an alternative paradigm: Resource Based Monetary Sovereignty (RBMS) and Endogenous Resource Backed Currencies (ERBC). We argue that Ghana's extensive resource endowments, particularly agricultual wealth, indigenous capital and critical minerals, offer an underutilised base for currency stability, domestic capital mobilisation, and monetary autonomy. Using archival materials and macroeconomic data, the article demonstrates that monetary credibility depend on transcending orthodox conventions and embracing resource-anchored monetary design. The future of the Cedi, we contend, lies in a reconfiguration of what the currency represents: value derived from endogenous wealth, institutional realism, and sovereign economic purpose.

Keywords: *Cedi; Money; Sovereignty; Resource Based Money; Ghana.*

1. Introduction

The Ghanaian Cedi, introduced on 19 July 1965, was conceived as an institutional expression of political emancipation. By replacing the British West African Pound, an instrument embedded within imperial monetary governance, Ghana sought to project a new symbolic and institutional architecture of sovereignty. The currency thus became a site of political inscription: value was nationalised, and money became an extension of postcolonial identity. The Cedi formed part of what Kwame Nkrumah described as the broader 'scientific reorientation' of postcolonial life, in which political independence required parallel transformations in economic and institutional structures (Nkrumah, 1965).

***Corresponding author.** *E-mail address: ipalagidede@ug.edu.gh; alagidede@gmail.com*

Six decades on, however, the historical trajectory of the Cedi presents an ambivalent picture. The currency that once embodied autonomy has been characterised by repeated crises, persistent volatility, multiple redenominations, and recurrent macroeconomic instability. Rather than consolidating sovereignty, the Cedi has been drawn into the gravitational field of external conditionalities and international financial hierarchies. Stabilisation programmes, debt restructuring exercises, and donor-dependent liquidity restoration have replaced the emancipatory economic imagination that inaugurated the currency. Today, the Cedi's legitimacy is largely mediated through externally validated metrics such as credit ratings, IMF programme reviews, balance-of-payments diagnostics, and quantitative performance criteria, suggesting that sovereignty has been continually deferred rather than substantively realised.

This condition is not unique to Ghana. It reflects a broader historical shift in global monetary governance. Monetary systems have long been shaped by the intrinsic properties of materials deemed valuable by societies. Metallic anchors, particularly gold and silver, emerged as dominant monetary foundations due to their durability, scarcity, and universal acceptability (Bordo, 1984; Eichengreen, 1996). Gold, in particular, underpinned the classical gold standard and later the Bretton Woods system, providing externally verifiable constraints on money creation and stabilising international trade and fiscal policy. The twentieth-century abandonment of commodity-backed money in favour of fiat regimes therefore marked a reconfiguration of monetary authority: credibility shifted from material constraint to institutional discretion.

While fiat money offered flexibility and policy autonomy, its long-run operation has exposed systemic vulnerabilities. Persistent inflationary tendencies, susceptibility to speculative attacks, and the erosion of public trust in central banking institutions have become recurring features of modern monetary systems (Minsky, 1986; BIS, 2023). In advanced economies, unconventional monetary policies, most notably quantitative easing, have expanded central-bank balance sheets on an unprecedented scale, reigniting debates about inflationary bias, asset-price distortions, and the political economy of discretionary money creation.

For emerging and resource-dependent economies, these vulnerabilities are magnified by external dependence. Exposure to foreign-denominated debt, volatile capital flows, and asymmetric global financial architectures renders such economies prone to recurrent balance-of-payments crises and exchange-rate instability (UNCTAD, 2023; AfDB, 2024). Ghana exemplifies this paradox. Despite being Africa's largest gold producer, the Ghanaian economy remains structurally exposed to external shocks. This vulnerability was revealed during the 2022 crisis, when the Cedi depreciated by more than 50 per cent against the US dollar and inflation peaked at 54 per cent in December (Bank of Ghana, 2022). The coexistence of resource abundance and monetary fragility suggests a deeper misalignment between the country's productive base and its monetary architecture.

A central difficulty lies in how the Cedi has been studied and narrated. The academic literature on Ghana's currency system has generated valuable empirical insights—through analyses of volatility, inflation dynamics, pass-through effects, and exchange-rate misalignment (Ocran, 2010; Aron, Muellbauer & Sebold, 2014; Iddrisu & Alagidede, 2020; Akosah et al., 2020; 2021). Yet this body of work remains largely confined to econometric representations of symptoms rather than interrogations of institutional design. Autoregressive models, vector autoregressions, and forecasting exercises model instability but rarely ask why instability appears endogenous to the currency itself. Why does depreciation recur with such regularity? Why is monetary credibility persistently externally referenced? The methodological sophistication of the literature paradoxically obscures these deeper structural questions.

Outside academia, the narrative becomes even more episodic. Currency commentary is dominated by journalists, market analysts, and rating agencies, whose explanatory vocabulary oscillates predictably between 'election-related fiscal pressures', 'import surges', 'weak reserves', and 'renewed market confidence'. These explanations are cyclical and ahistorical. Each depreciation episode is framed as exceptional, even though its causal logic is repeatedly rehearsed. This discursive normalisation echoes what Mkandawire (1997) describes as 'policy replacement', where description substitutes for explanation, and technical adjustment displaces structural reflection. Over time, macroeconomic turbulence becomes naturalised, and institutional memory of design flaws fades.

The consequences of this normalisation are consequential. Currency depreciation erodes real wages; inflation undermines savings; uncertainty suppresses productive investment; and austerity conditionalities constrain public spending. Empirically, severe depreciation episodes in Ghana have been followed by rising unemployment, widening poverty, and escalating sovereign indebtedness (IMF, 2023; World Bank, 2024). Yet these outcomes are rarely interpreted as manifestations of architectural misalignment. Instead, they are treated as unfortunate but transient economic misfortunes.

What is often mistaken for misfortune is, in fact, structural determinism. The Cedi is disembedded from the domestic productive system. It functions as an epistemic placeholder, representing imagined rather than materially grounded value. For an asset endowed and culturally gifted economy, this disembedding amounts to what Rodney (1972) famously described as the external ownership of internal productivity. Gold, cocoa, sheanuts and energy resources generate value externally; valuation returns domestically in the form of a weakening currency and rising debt obligations. The inversion is not inefficiency, but a structural mismatch between the ontology of wealth and the architecture of monetary representation.

Fiat currency, by design, thrives on symbolic consensus. It derives value from belief rather than embodiment and can expand without reference to ecological, productive, or extractive limits. In contexts where external confidence constructs domestic legitimacy, this renders monetary sovereignty inherently fragile. Sovereignty becomes performative rather than material.

Against this background, this paper advances Resource-Based Monetary Sovereignty (RBMS) and its operational extension, Endogenous Resource-Backed Currency (ERBC), as interventions into the philosophical and institutional crisis of fiat value (see Alagidede, 2025a; 2025b). RBMS asserts that sovereignty must have measurable substance. Monetary independence cannot be declared: it must be designed. ERBC operationalises this principle by establishing a rule-based correspondence between currency issuance and endogenous, auditable resource asset pools. Whereas fiat monetary systems constructs value by institutional decree, ERBC derives value from verifiable domestic balance-sheet positions.

This paper situates RBMS–ERBC within a broader systems thinking, and argues that sustainable monetary sovereignty requires a layered architecture: RBMS as the political-economic foundation; ERBC as the monetary issuance rule; a digital Cedi (DCedi) as the programmable circulation and settlement layer; and, in the longer horizon, an energy-linked settlement layer to impose physical throughput discipline on large-scale economic exchange. These layers are complementary components of a coherent monetary architecture aligned with Ghana's resource endowment.

At sixty years, therefore, the Cedi stands at an existential crossroads. If currency maturity is measured by institutional coherence, the Cedi remains unfinished. Its sovereignty has been asserted rhetorically but not embedded structurally. The analysis that follows reconstructs the historical currency epochs that have sedimented this fragility and demonstrates how RBMS–ERBC reframes monetary governance away from externally dependent liquidity cycles toward endogenous productive anchoring.

2. Historical Context: The Cedi and the Disrupted Quest for Sovereignty

Ghana's monetary history prior to 1965 was designed for extraction. The West African Currency Board (WACB), established in 1912, functioned as an administrative monetary apparatus for British colonial territories (Helleiner, 1994). It issued currency only to the extent that colonies earned sterling through exports; it sterilised surpluses, repatriated hard currency holdings to London, and actively prevented domestic credit creation. In effect, Ghana became a net exporter of liquidity: cocoa earnings financed British capital accumulation while domestic credit and long-term investment remained constrained (Killick, 1978). The fiscal contradiction was stark: Ghana could earn foreign exchange but could not monetise it domestically at scale. Political independence, therefore, necessarily entailed monetary disentanglement.

The institutional response began in 1957 with the establishment of the Bank of Ghana, followed by the introduction of the Ghanaian Pound in 1958. These measures conferred symbolic monetary autonomy but retained structural dependency. The Ghanaian Pound operated under the same logic of external reserve cover and sterling convertibility that had governed the WACB. It is against this background that the introduction of the Cedi on 19 July 1965 must be understood. The Cedi, derived from 'sedie', the Akan representation of cowrie shells, was a deliberate invocation of indigenous monetary logic. In many West African societies, cowries functioned as media of exchange, stores of value, instruments of social obligation, and symbols of fertility, continuity, and spiritual legitimacy. They were embedded in ritual, marriage transactions, and long-distance trade, embodying a conception of money anchored in tangible, socially recognised value. Naming the currency 'Cedi' thus symbolically reconnected Ghana's modern monetary regime to older African traditions in which value was both material and cosmological. Yet the sovereignty this new currency represented remained partial and deferred, constrained by Bretton Woods arrangements and the continued dominance of Western capital markets.

To grasp the full evolution of the Cedi, three historical cycles can be delineated, each marking a distinct configuration of Ghana's monetary philosophy, institutional posture, and economic vulnerabilities.

2.1. Cycle One (1957–1990): Aspirational Sovereignty Amid Material Dependency

The first cycle, the Aspirational Sovereignty Era, opened with independence under Kwame Nkrumah. Monetary reform was not treated as a technocratic detail but as a central pillar of statecraft. Alfred Eggleston, the inaugural Governor of the Bank of Ghana, and later A. K. Adomakoh, oversaw the transition from colonial currencies to the Ghanaian Pound and subsequently the First Cedi (Bank of Ghana, 2018; Osei, 2020). These institutional milestones represented a formal break from the colonial currency regime and were framed as moves toward substantive sovereignty. Yet while currency sovereignty was asserted symbolically, the economic base remained largely unchanged. Ghana's export structure—dominated by cocoa, gold, and timber—constituted an inherently unstable foundation for monetary strength.

Dependency theorists provide the conceptual architecture for this contradiction. Rodney (1972) showed how export-oriented economies locked into Western markets lacked genuine autonomy, as terms of trade, pricing, and market access were externally determined. Samir Amin (1976) further argued that political independence without structural transformation merely reproduces dependency in new forms. Ghana's position in the global economy exemplified this pattern: the Cedi may have floated free from sterling, but its underlying value remained tethered to commodities priced in external markets.

The collapse in cocoa earnings from the early 1960s into the early 1980s accentuated this vulnerability. Cocoa prices declined from roughly £450 per tonne in 1954 to about £91 per tonne by 1965 (IDRC, 1984), while production volumes fell nearly 60% by 1983 (IFPRI, 2007). This erosion of export receipts precipitated chronic balance-of-payments crises, which fed into exchange rate pressures and inflationary surges.

Governance dynamics amplified these structural weaknesses. The period from 1957 to 1990 was marked by a succession of political regimes—from Nkrumah's Convention People's Party through military interventions, the National Liberation Council (NLC), the National Redemption Council (NRC), the Supreme Military Council (SMC), and ultimately the Provisional National Defence Council (PNDC). Each regime change brought shifts in ideology and fiscal priorities, but the institutional autonomy of the central bank remained weak. Aryeetey and Harrigan (2000) document how the Bank of Ghana frequently served as a fiscal arm of the executive, monetising deficits, financing state-owned enterprises, and validating populist expenditure in the absence of robust tax capacity. Governors such as Hubert Kessels, A. K. Adomakoh, and Alex Ashiagbor operated within a context of limited central bank independence and intense political pressure.

Externally, the collapse of the Bretton Woods system in 1971 removed the nominal anchor of fixed exchange rates. The First Cedi, already strained by domestic imbalances, now confronted the full volatility of global capital and commodity markets. Currency overvaluation, declining export receipts, and fiscal deficits converged. Inflation reached 116% in 1981 (IMF, 1987), signalling a severe erosion of domestic purchasing power and institutional credibility.

The turning point came with the Economic Recovery Programme (ERP) of 1983–1986, launched under the PNDC in close collaboration with the International Monetary Fund and World Bank. Ghana undertook a far-reaching package of devaluation, trade liberalisation, privatisation, and fiscal retrenchment. Macroeconomic indicators eventually improved—growth resumed, inflation moderated from hyperinflationary levels—but at the cost of deeper integration into the conditionalities of global finance (see Hutchful, 2002; Aryeetey and Harrigan, 2000). ERP effectively monetised external discipline: the Cedi was stabilised by aligning national policy with international financial orthodoxy rather than by restructuring the domestic productive base.

Cycle One underscores a foundational lesson: symbolic sovereignty without structural diversification creates an institutional contradiction. A national currency existed, but national autonomy did not. Political regimes came and went; central bank governors rotated; policy regimes oscillated between state-led developmentalism and externally driven austerity. Yet the underlying monetary architecture remained that of a peripheral exporter with limited control over its own terms of exchange.

2.2. Cycle Two (1991–2012): Neoliberal Orthodoxy and the Illusion of Stability

The second period—the Orthodox Stabilisation and Debt Relief Era—coincided with Ghana's transition from military rule to multi-party democracy and deeper institutionalisation of neoliberal reforms. The promulgation of the 1992 Constitution and the birth of the Fourth Republic under Jerry John Rawlings marked a formal shift from authoritarian governance to electoral competition. At the same time, it entrenched Ghana's full insertion into the global policy consensus of structural adjustment and liberalisation. Under Governors G. K. Agama and later Paul Acquah, the Bank of Ghana adopted an explicit inflation-targeting framework, progressive exchange-rate liberalisation, and partial capital account openness. The target band of $8 \pm 2\%$ inflation became a key policy anchor (Bank of Ghana, 2003). Policy rates were modernised, reserve requirements recalibrated, and an interbank money market consolidated. These reforms were lauded by international financial institutions as evidence of Ghana's macroeconomic maturity.

Debt dynamics appeared to validate this narrative. Through the Highly Indebted Poor Countries (HIPC) Initiative in 2001 and the Multilateral Debt Relief Initiative (MDRI) in 2005, Ghana's debt-to-GDP ratio fell from over 100% in the late 1990s to around 26% by 2006 (IMF & World Bank, 2005). Inflation, which had exceeded 40% in the early 2000s, declined to single digits by 2011 (World Bank, 2012). Successive governments—from Rawlings through John Agyekum Kufuor to John Atta Mills—embraced the language of macroeconomic stability, fiscal responsibility, and investor confidence. On paper, the Cedi appeared to have entered a new era of managed stability.

Yet this stability was, in crucial respects, illusory. The inflation-targeting regime and monetary policy frameworks were largely imported templates rather than endogenous constructs calibrated to Ghana's structural realities. As Aryeetey (2009) emphasises, Ghana's labour market is heavily informal, with the majority of workers outside formal financial intermediation. Under such conditions, changes in policy rates have limited traction on credit allocation, pricing, and investment decisions. The central bank's tools were technically sophisticated but structurally blunt.

At the same time, the political logic of the new democratic era introduced new forms of fiscal slippage. Competitive elections increased incentives for pre-election spending, wage bill expansions, and subsidy programmes. Fiscal dominance—where fiscal policy systematically constrains monetary policy—persisted, undermining the credibility of the inflation-targeting regime. Commodity reliance also deepened rather than receded. The commencement of commercial oil production in 2010 generated exuberant expectations and fed into optimistic revenue projections. Public expenditure expanded ahead of realised oil revenues, and the Cedi's value increasingly hinged on volatile commodity prices rather than a diversified productive base.

The debt gains from HIPC and MDRI evaporated within a decade. Foreign-denominated debt more than doubled between 2008 and 2012 (MoFEP, 2013). Eurobond issuance, initially seen as a symbol of market confidence, became a conduit for re-newed external vulnerability. By 2012, the Cedi had depreciated from around GH₵1.2 per US dollar in 2008 to over GH₵2 per US dollar, reflecting both fiscal imbalances and weakening investor sentiment (Boamah, 2015). The 2012 currency crisis exposed the fragility beneath the appearance of stability: macro indicators looked sound, but the underlying structure remained precarious.

Cycle Two reveals a second major lesson. Ghana achieved macro-stability in a statistical sense, but not structural independence. Monetary frameworks and democratic institutions evolved, but the Cedi's credibility remained externally adjudicated—by rating agencies, donor assessments, and global capital flows. Stability existed numerically; sovereignty remained incomplete.

2.3. Cycle Three (2013–Present): Fiat Fragility, Austerity and Emerging Resource Reawakening

The third phase, the Austerity and Fiat Attrition Era, occured under the John Mahama and Nana Akuffo Addo regimes, marked by intensified external dependency, greater domestic precarity, and tentative, often misdirected, efforts to reconnect currency to resources. Between 2013 and 2015, Ghana entered its sixteenth International Monetary Fund programme since independence (IMF, 2015), a good indication of persistent macroeconomic fragility. Chronic losses from state-owned enterprises, including the Electricity Company of Ghana and the Tema Oil Refinery, continued to drain fiscal resources (PURC, 2022). Monetary expansion repeatedly financed wage arrears and energy-sector deficits, contributing to inflationary pressures.

Global shocks, most notably the COVID-19 pandemic and subsequent commodity and financial volatility, exacerbated these vulnerabilities. By 2022, inflation exceeded 50% (GSS, 2023), and the Cedi depreciated past GH20 per US dollar, representing the fastest recorded loss of value in Ghana's monetary history. Concurrently, monetary tightening in advanced economies, particularly the United States, triggered capital outflows from emerging markets; Ghana's Eurobond yields climbed into double digits, effectively closing off access to international capital markets (Bloomberg, 2023).

In this context, Governor Ernest Addison pursued several initiatives that attempted to symbolically and practically re-anchor the Cedi. The introduction of higher-denomination notes (GH₵100 and GH₵200) sought to rationalise cash transactions in an inflationary environment, while the launch of a commemorative gold-backed coin in 2024 and Gold-for-Oil arrangements explicitly evoked Ghana's precolonial gold-based trading history. These initiatives hinted at an intuitive recognition that fiat Cedi, detached from the resource base, had reached the limits of its legitimacy.

However, these efforts were undermined by governance deficits and flawed structuring. The Agyapa royalties transaction—designed to securitise future gold royalties—was marred by opaque processes, perceived conflicts of interest, and inadequate public consultation (ACEP, 2023). Similarly, the Gold-for-Oil programme, a potentially innovative mechanism to leverage gold reserves for strategic imports, suffered from unclear pricing frameworks, limited transparency, and weak institutional oversight. In practice, these initiatives did not constitute a coherent move toward resource-based monetary sovereignty; they appeared as ad hoc, crisis-driven responses that ultimately eroded rather than reinforced public trust.

By 2025, there were signs of nominal stabilisation. Under new Governor of the Bank of Ghana, Dr. Johnson Pandit Asiama, external reserves reportedly reached around US$10.7 billion, up from US$9.1 billion in January (Bank of Ghana, 2025), and the Cedi appreciated to approximately GH₵10 per US dollar. Yet this apparent recovery was decoupled from broader welfare outcomes. World Bank projections suggest that poverty could exceed 51.2% by 2027 (World Bank, 2024), indicating that exchange-rate gains have not translated into meaningful structural transformation or inclusive development.

Moreover, monetary austerity has reshaped the financial system in problematic ways. With policy rates in the 22–25% range in early 2025 (Bank of Ghana, 2025), commercial banks have found it more profitable and less risky to channel funds into government securities than to extend credit to the private sector. This 'risk-free arbitrage' has generated significant crowding-out effects: real sector expansion has stalled, small and medium enterprises face prohibitive borrowing costs, and investment in productive infrastructure remains inadequate. The Cedi's recent appreciation thus appears unanchored from domestic wealth creation; it is sustained by temporary flows, restructurings, and austerity rather than by a re-engineered productive base.

Cycle Three crystallises the endgame of fiat logic in a structurally dependent economy: currency value becomes increasingly detached from domestic productive capacity and increasingly tethered to external conditionalities and episodic inflows.

2.4. A synthesis of Lessons Across Cycles

These three cycles yield a set of interconnected lessons about the disrupted quest for monetary sovereignty in Ghana. The first is that sovereignty without productive anchoring is performative. The post-independence experience shows that the mere existence of a national currency does not guarantee autonomy when the economic structure remains colonial in form. Concentrated reliance on cocoa and other primary commodities, combined with limited domestic industrialisation, created a setting in which external price shocks translated almost mechanically into currency crises. The Cedi, in this context, functioned more as an emblem of aspiration than as an instrument of control.

The second lesson is that imported monetary frameworks without deep institutional fit generate statistical stability but not structural independence. The inflation-targeting era and associated neoliberal reforms delivered improvements in headline indicators, yet they left intact the core vulnerabilities of fiscal dominance, shallow financial inclusion, and externalised credibility. Monetary policy instruments were well-designed on paper but operated within an economy whose key transmission channels—formal credit markets, diversified exports, robust tax systems—were underdeveloped. Stability, in this sense, was achieved numerically but not materially (Alagidede, 2025a).

The third lesson is that persistent reliance on fiat currency—without explicit and credible backing in domestic resource wealth—inevitably produces cycles of appreciation and collapse. As the recent period demonstrates, episodes of exchange-rate recovery anchored in IMF disbursements[1], Eurobond issuances, or temporary commodity booms are followed by renewed crises when global liquidity conditions tighten or domestic governance failures resurface. External reserves, donor inflows, and programme-based interventions substitute for endogenous capital formation, while the Cedi continues to oscillate between overvaluation and freefall.

In strictly economic terms, Ghana appears trapped in a liquidity–borrow–stabilise–depreciate loop. In political economy terms, monetary sovereignty remains outsourced to international financial institutions, rating agencies, and global capital markets. The historical trajectory of the Cedi thus sets the stage for the argument advanced in subsequent sections: that a fundamental rethinking of Ghana's monetary architecture.

[1] Ghana's 17th IMF programme, approved in May 2023 under the New Patriotic Party [NPP] (Nana Akufo-Addo), was a US$3 billion Extended Credit Facility, negotiated amid debt distress and loss of market access. This episode continues a bipartisan pattern since 1992: National Democratic Congress [NDC] governments (Jerry John Rawlings; John Atta-Mills and John Dramani Mahama) and NPP governments (John Agyekum Kufuor; Nana Akufo-Addo) have repeatedly turned to the IMF in response to election-linked fiscal slippages, commodity dependence, and external financing constraints. The 2023 bailout represents continuity, not exception, in Ghana's macroeconomic trajectory

2.5. Toward a New Paradigm

The Cedi's six-decade journey demonstrates that monetary independence cannot be achieved through currency issuance alone. Each cycle deepens the case for structural redesign: value must be anchored, not declared.

The historical record validates the premise that sovereignty must be engineered. The persistence of fiat logic despite tangible mineral, agricultural and energy wealth confirms that Ghana's challenge is not scarcity but institutional misalignment.

A future system—grounded in endogenous resource-backed frameworks—must reverse the historical logic: external validation must not determine internal worth; real wealth must not be exported unpriced; and currency must cease being a simulation of sovereignty and instead become its execution.

So far the Cedi has been an emblem, a promise, and an illusion. Its next era must transform symbolism into substance.

3. Money as Nation-Building, Legitimacy, and Structural Anchor: Reframing Fiat Sovereignty under the Cedi at 60

The Bank of Ghana's commemorative theme for the Cedi at 60: 'sovereignty, stability, and resilience' crystallises an unresolved question at the heart of Ghana's monetary experience. What, in practice, has the Cedi represented over six decades, and to what extent has it fulfilled the classical functions of national money as an instrument of state-building, social cohesion, and developmental transformation? For much of Ghana's postcolonial history, sovereignty has been asserted symbolically rather than materially, stability has appeared in episodic bursts rather than as a durable condition, and resilience has taken the form of reactive adjustment rather than proactive design.

Eric Helleiner's 'The Making of National Money' (2003) provides a theoretical lens for this undertaking. Helleiner shows that the rise of national currencies in nineteenth-century Europe was the product of deliberate political projects. National money helped unify fragmented territories into coherent economic spaces, underpinned modern systems of taxation and public finance, and made the state visible in the daily lives of citizens. In his account, national currencies succeeded because they rested on three interlocking foundations: institutional coherence, expanding productive capacity, and the state's effective monopoly over fiscal and monetary authority.

When this framework is transposed onto Ghana's post-independence trajectory, the disjuncture is immediately apparent. Like the European polities of the nineteenth century, Ghana sought to nationalise its monetary identity, first through the Ghanaian Pound, and later through the Cedi. Yet, unlike those states, Ghana embarked on monetary nation-building while inheriting an extractive economic structure and a deeply constrained policy space. Colonial institutions such as the West African Currency Board ensured that pre-independence African currencies functioned as derivatives of sterling, backed almost entirely by foreign assets and designed to safeguard external convertibility rather than facilitate domestic credit creation or structural transformation (Helleiner, 1994).

When the Bank of Ghana launched the Cedi, the institutional form of a central bank was present, but its functional sovereignty was hollow. The new currency entered an economy still geared towards the export of primary commodities under externally determined terms of trade. In Helleiner's terms, Ghana issued national money without having nationalised its processes of accumulation. The Cedi's birth in 1965 undoubtedly marked an epistemic and symbolic disconnection from British monetary identity, but it did not secure structural insulation from external validation or control.

3.1. Extending Helleiner Beyond Europe: Postcolonial Monetary Foundations

Helleiner's state-building narrative therefore requires extension to capture the specificity of postcolonial monetary regimes. National currency in Africa does not emerge at the dawn of domestic industrial capitalism but at a moment when global capitalism has already been consolidated elsewhere. It appears under conditions of entrenched extractive enclaves, aid dependence and externally supervised reform programmes. Where European currencies consolidated sovereignty, African currencies, including the Cedi, were born into compromised autonomy.

Ghana's integration into the global monetary order took place in a world already structured by the International Monetary Fund, the World Bank, and a U.S. dollar-centred reserve system. Central bank credibility, rather than being built endogenously, came to be adjudicated externally—through IMF programme assessments, credit ratings, and investor sentiment. Under such conditions, the Cedi was compelled to perform sovereignty rather than to embody it. Periodic redenominations—most notably the 2007 re-denomination—were framed as technical responses to inflationary pressures, but they also operated as symbolic rituals of renewal, signalling a desire to "reset" monetary identity without necessarily altering the underlying structural conditions that had produced instability.

Benjamin Cohen's 'The Geography of Money' (1998) helps to deepen this dimension of analysis. Cohen conceptualises money as a 'badge of identity', a territorial and symbolic marker that signals belonging, authority, and differentiation. The iconography of the Cedi, its portraits of independence leaders, depictions of cocoa pods and architectural landmarks, and its very name, derived from sedie, the Akan term for cowries, embodies this performative sovereignty. The currency tells a story about who Ghanaians are, what they value, and how they situate themselves in historical and cultural time.

However, Cohen's framework is largely agnostic on economic substance. Symbolic identity and aesthetic design do not in themselves guarantee monetary authority or stability. In Ghana's case, the fact that a large share of external trade, financial contracts, and even high-value domestic transactions are denominated in foreign currency (notably the U.S. dollar) reveals a hierarchy between symbolic and functional sovereignty. The Cedi occupies the citizen's wallet but not necessarily the commanding heights of value storage and settlement. A currency can therefore be rich in cultural meaning yet poor in structural power.

3.2. Central Banking under Orthodoxy: The Limits of Imported Models

In this constrained postcolonial setting, the Bank of Ghana has operated largely within the parameters of orthodox macroeconomic frameworks. The Quantity Theory of Money, Phillips Curve relations, and the Mundell–Fleming 'impossible trinity' have informed the design of policy regimes, particularly following the formal adoption of inflation targeting in 2007. Under this regime, inflation is framed primarily as a liquidity and expectations problem: excess money supply and unanchored expectations are to be disciplined through interest-rate adjustments, reserve requirements, and communication strategies.

Yet Ghana's empirical experience has repeatedly exposed the limits of this imported architecture. IMF working papers (2021) and related empirical studies show that Ghanaian inflation is far more sensitive to exchange-rate movements and imported fuel prices than to incremental changes in domestic broad money or the Monetary Policy Rate. With over 80 per cent of employment in the informal sector, the conventional transmission channels from policy rate to interbank rate, the lending rate, investment, output and prices are fractured. Policy changes are transmitted reliably to treasury-bill yields and government borrowing costs, but far less so to the pricing of credit for small and medium enterprises or to the cost structures of informal producers. Monetary policy in this context tends to realign the financial sector rather than recalibrate the productive economy.

This disconnect is compounded by persistent fiscal dominance indicated by data from the central bank. In 2022, for example, the Bank of Ghana financed government deficits in excess of GHS 35 billion, equivalent to roughly 5 per cent of GDP, effectively monetising fiscal shortfalls despite the formal existence of an inflation-targeting framework. The credibility costs of such interventions are significant: markets and citizens alike learn that the statutory independence of the central bank is conditional, and that inflation outcomes are ultimately driven less by technocratic rules than by political and fiscal exigencies. Inflation targeting, under these conditions, becomes a discursive regime—a language of prudence—rather than a binding constraint on money creation.

Orthodox models also interpret currency instability as cyclical and transitory rather than as symptomatic of structural misalignment. The Mundell–Fleming trilemma assumes free capital mobility and disciplined fiscal policy; Ghana's reality is intermittent access to capital markets and recurrent fiscal slippages clustered around electoral cycles. The Quantity Theory assumes relatively stable velocity; Ghana's velocity fluctuates sharply in response to political events, speculative demand for foreign exchange, and shocks in global commodity markets. The result is a disjuncture between theoretical elegance and practical efficacy—a point underscored by Aryeetey's (2009) observation that policy instruments often constitute "formal institutional responses to informal economic conditions."

3.3. From Fiat Performance to Fiat Fragility

The dynamics discussed above produce what might be termed 'fiat performance'. Over sixty years, the Cedi has excelled at narrating sovereignty, through its design, linguistic roots, and institutional rituals, while struggling to guarantee it in material terms. Its legal tender status ensures that it circulates within national borders, but its real power to store value and coordinate long-term contracts depends heavily on its relationship to external currencies and external institutions.

This distinction between sovereignty as performance and sovereignty as capacity is central. A currency performs sovereignty when it signals independence, circulates by law, and carries national iconography. It embodies sovereignty when it can durably anchor purchasing power, allocate credit toward developmental priorities, and withstand external shocks without recurrent recourse to external bailouts. Ghana's experience with the Cedi has, thus far, been weighted toward the former rather than the latter.

This is less a story of institutional incompetence than of structural design. In a resource-rich yet structurally dependent economy, a purely fiat currency becomes an instrument whose value is underwritten by confidence rather than by embedded claims on domestic wealth. Such confidence can be temporarily managed through communication strategies, short-term stabilisation programmes, and opportunistic borrowing, but it remains fragile when export structures are narrow, revenue bases shallow, and reserves significantly influenced by external flows. In this configuration, fiat money becomes a floating signifier, detached from the material foundations of the economy it purports to represent.

3.4. Building toward Resource-Based Monetary Sovereignty (RBMS)

It is precisely at this juncture that the Bank of Ghana's 60th anniversary theme of sovereignty, stability, resilience, can be reimagined as more than a rhetorical device. If taken seriously, the theme implies a recalibration of what sovereignty should mean in monetary terms, what stability must consist of beyond exchange-rate fluctuations, and what resilience requires in a world of recurrent systemic shocks. Stability, in this redefinition, cannot be equated merely with a temporarily appreciating Cedi or a momentary decline in inflation; it must be understood as sustained purchasing-power retention anchored in domestic productive capacity. Sovereignty cannot be reduced to the formal right to issue currency; it must entail the capacity to define and back that currency on terms consonant with national wealth structures. Resilience cannot be a euphemism for austerity; it must involve an architecture that internalises shocks rather than amplifies them.

Resource-Based Monetary Sovereignty (RBMS), as articulated in Alagidede (2025a; 2025b), directly engages these challenges. RBMS begins from the premise that in a resource-abundant economy, monetary sovereignty must be materially grounded in the very assets that define the country's structural position in the world economy. Rather than assuming value and seeking external validation, the currency must construct value on the basis of endogenous wealth. In this sense, RBMS stands in sharp contrast to fiat orthodoxy (see Table 1).

Table 1. Distinction between RBMS and Classical Gold Standard

Feature	Classical Gold Standard	RBMS / ERBC
Currency Flexibility	Fixed parity	Managed elasticity within reserve constraint
Backing Asset	Gold only	Diversified commodity basket
Monetary Authority Role	Passive, rules-bound	Active, resource-aware policy discretion
International Coordination	Dependent on gold flows	Regionally cooperative resource pooling
Deflationary Bias	High	Moderate with fiscal levers
Development Fit	Poor for low-income nations	Calibrated for resource-rich, postcolonial economies

Whereas conventional fiat systems treat money as a claim on future tax revenues and as an instrument disciplined primarily through scarcity and expectations management, RBMS treats money as a certificated claim on a carefully defined pool of real assets—gold, cocoa, oil, bauxite and other strategic resources—held under transparent and accountable institutional arrangements.

Equally, RBMS must be distinguished from a mechanical return to the classical gold standard. The latter imposed rigid convertibility rules and often generated deflationary tendencies by tying money creation to fluctuating gold stocks. RBMS, by contrast, is envisaged as flexible, partial, and context-sensitive. In the Ghanaian case, this would mean calibrating a proportion of the Cedi's issuance to a dynamic, diversified reserve structure in which gold plays a central but not exclusive role, complemented by other commodities that reflect the broader export basket. The objective is not to shackle liquidity to a single asset but to stabilise expectations by giving the currency a transparent, measurable anchor in nationally controlled resources (see Table 2).

Table 2. Strengths of RBMS Compared to Fiat Systems

Criteria	Fiat System (Status Quo)	Resource-Based System (RBMS)
Value Basis	Political trust, state decree	Physical asset (e.g., gold)
Inflation Control	Weak in fiscally undisciplined economies	Strong anchor due to limited issuance
Public Confidence	Easily eroded by debt, corruption, volatility	Strengthened by tangible backing
External Vulnerability	High—subject to capital flight and dollarization	Reduced—linked to domestic endowments
Cultural Resonance	Often imported, technocratic	Rooted in indigenous values and practices
Structural Fit for Africa	Poor—ignores resource base, informality	Strong—aligned with economic composition

In practical terms, this entails a reconceptualisation of reserves. Under orthodoxy, reserves are held primarily to manage external payments and assure foreign investors of a country's capacity to meet short-term obligations. Under RBMS, reserves acquire a dual function: they remain instruments of external settlement but also become the backbone of domestic credibility. The central bank's balance sheet, in this configuration, is no longer dominated solely by foreign currency assets and government securities but progressively reweighted toward domestically retained gold and other resource-linked instruments. Currency issuance then becomes explicitly tethered to a framework that reflects resource valuation cycles.

The conceptual departures from orthodoxy indicate that money is no longer treated primarily as a representation of future tax flows but as a certificated claim on endogenous wealth; reserves are reimagined as buffers for external transactions, and as anchors of domestic confidence; and issuance is governed less by abstract rules calibrated to models developed in very different economies and more by a disciplined relation to tangible assets over which Ghana can exert sovereign control. In such a system, credibility is internalised through measurable, domestically held assets rather than outsourced to rating agencies and programme reviews.

In the context of the Cedi at 60, RBMS offers a way to move beyond a fiat regime that has excelled at symbolism but underdelivered on substance. It lays the intellectual and institutional groundwork for a transition from a currency that performs sovereignty to one that embodies it; from stability understood as episodic relief to stability understood as structural endurance; and from resilience framed as perpetual adjustment to resilience built on endogenous strength. The detailed architecture of RBMS, and its operationalisation through Endogenous Resource-Backed Currencies (ERBC), is developed at length in Alagidede (2025a; 2025b). Here, the key point is that the very themes chosen by the Bank of Ghana for the Cedi's diamond jubilee can, and should be read as a forward-looking mandate to embed Ghana's money in Ghana's wealth, and to turn the Cedi from a floating sign of independence into a grounded instrument of sovereign design.

4. From Fiat Exhaustion to Resource-Anchored Sovereignty: Operationalising RBMS Beyond Monetary Performance

If the preceding sections established the symbolic arc of the Cedi—60 years of performance without structural anchoring—Section 4 turns deliberately to the empirical record to demonstrate why a post-fiat paradigm is now not only intellectually desirable but economically non-negotiable. Evidence of Ghana's macroeconomic evolution across three decades reveals that fiat sovereignty has produced cyclical stabilisation but persistent fragility, validating the need for redesigned monetary foundations grounded in endogenous capacity.

4.1. The Material Record of Fiat Sovereignty

Table 3 summarises the behaviour of key macroeconomic indicators in Ghana between 1994 and 2024, using averaged observations constructed from Ghana Statistical Service releases, Bank of Ghana Monetary Policy Committee communiqués, IMF Article IV assessments, and World Bank development datasets.

Table 3. Average Macroeconomic Performance of Ghana, c. 1994–2024

Indicator	Average Value (1994–2004)	Average Value (2005–2014)	Average Value (2015–2024)
GDP Growth (%)	5.3	7.6	3.9
GDP per capita (constant USD)	$966	$1,721	$1,476
Inflation (%)	32.4	14.2	27.8
Inflation Target (%)	Not formalised	8 ± 2	8 ± 2
Unemployment (%)	7.4*	8.6	13.7
Public Debt/GDP (%)	71.8	46.1	85.3

Notes:*Labour Force Survey estimates; post-2006 includes formalised measurement)
Sources: Compiled from Bank of Ghana (1999–2024), Ghana Statistical Service (various years), IMF & World Bank databases).

This thirty-year record reveals its own theoretical truth: fiat policy has succeeded in plots, not in outcomes; in quarterly reviews, not in structural resilience. The Cedi has stabilised episodically, appreciated momentarily, and signalled progress rhetorically—but the structural performance of the economy has never fully converged with monetary intention.

4.1.1. Instability and Performance Divergence

GDP growth averaged 7.6% during 2005–2014, assisted by debt relief through HIPC (2001) and MDRI (2005), improved cocoa prices, and the onset of oil production in 2010. In this period, orthodox monetary strategy claimed success. However, growth collapsed to an average of 3.9% between 2015 and 2024—precisely when inflation-targeting was fully institutionalised and when central bank communications adopted formal credibility signalling. Economic reality diverged from policy rhetoric. If orthodox logic were valid, stabilisation of prices should have reinforced growth; instead, the economy slowed.

Inflation demonstrates the same contradiction. Although inflation averaged 14.2% during the 'credibility decade', from 2005–2014, it spiked again to nearly 28% on average over 2015–2024, despite cumulative 600–900 basis-point increases in the monetary-policy rate. That inflation rose alongside rate tightening indicates that pricing behaviour was not liquidity-driven but structurally embedded in exchange-rate vulnerabilities, imported cost structures, bottlenecks in the agricultural value chain and speculative currency demand—all phenomena beyond domestic interest-rate engineering.

The inflation-target band of 8 ± 2%has therefore survived mostly as aspirational rule rather than realised condition. The Cedi's volatility has repeatedly overwhelmed expectation-anchoring frameworks.

4.2. Debt: The Negative Ledger of Fiat Logic

The debt trajectory is even more revealing. Public debt-to-GDP ratios improved dramatically after debt forgiveness—from over 70% to around 46%—but returned to above 85% by the most recent decade. This U-shaped fiscal dependency confirms two structural truths:

First, orthodox stabilisation is externally subsidised. Fiscal consolidation improves not through domestic revenue effort but external cancellation, restructuring and or statistical magic.

Second, the effect endures only until spending demands and election cycles return.

Thus, sovereign debt has behaved as a currency-backing surrogate in the absence of resource-backed value. Ghana borrowed not to expand productive capital formation, but to stabilise currency performance cycles. This is the institutional paradox of fiat logic in post-colonial space: money without intrinsic anchoring acquires credibility externally through debt.

Debt becomes reserve; borrowing becomes liquidity; conditionality becomes pseudo-institutional discipline.

Under such architecture, the national balance sheet is monetised by creditors.

4.3. GDP per Capita and the Mirage of Growth

GDP per capita increases between the first and second period (from $966 to $1,721) reflect temporary gains from oil shocks, cocoa cycles, and improved access to capital markets—not structural changes in productivity. When external liquidity tightened after 2014, GDP per capita fell, even though the size of the economy expanded nominally. In effect: nominal sovereignty expanded, real sovereignty contracted.

The dating aligns with Ghana's first Eurobond issuances (2007-2013) which financed deficits and stabilisation. When rollover costs expanded, macro-numbers regressed. GDP per capita, therefore, becomes a mirror of resource expatriation: value was extracted rather than circulated.

4.3.1. Unemployment and Monetary Failure

Unemployment figures—from 7.4% to nearly 14%—reveal the insufficiency of rate-based instruments. The central bank's policy transmission pathway presumes that lower inflation creates conditions for investment; investment creates production; production creates employment. Yet, Ghana's labour market does not align with this theoretical chain.

The informal sector constitutes over 80% of national labour absorption (GSS, 2022). Informal producers borrow informally, price in daily turnover, and transact often outside regulated currency channels. Monetary policy merely shifts balance-sheet costs for banks—not structural costs for workers.

Thus, inflation-targeting stabilised treasury-bill markets but destabilised industrial employment capacity.

4.3.2. An exercise in Monetary Autopsy

Table 3 functions as a monetary autopsy, revealing the systemic fragilities built into Ghana's fiat architecture. Inflation oscillates with exchange-rate shocks; periods of expansion coincide with external inflows rather than internally generated productivity. Debt has operated as recurrent resuscitation fluid, sustaining liquidity without building capability. Per capita income stagnates because growth episodes lack capital-deepening, while unemployment rises even during so-called stabilisation periods, indicating that macroeconomic stability is statistical rather than structural. None of these outcomes are incidental; they arise from the fundamental misalignment between a fiat system that issues value without endogenous backing and an economy whose wealth continually exits through extraction and externalisation. Institutional effort has therefore been diverted toward stabilising symptoms rather than rectifying design flaws, perpetuating a cycle of crisis management rather than developmental transformation.

4.4. Ending Monetary Slavery through Anchored Sovereignty

Across the six decades of the Cedi's existence, a structural paradox has remained unaltered: Ghana operates a national currency whose legitimacy is continually arbitrated outside its territorial and productive space. The domestic monetary system has become performative sovereignty—legal tender internally, but externally validated through IMF programme sign-offs, Eurobond refinancing windows, and episodic capital inflows. Stabilisation in this sense is contingent, fragile, and episodic. The prevailing architecture resembles what may be termed monetary subordination: a sovereign currency circulating under continuously imported discipline. This is a peculiar and historically contradictory position for a country that commands vast natural endowments.

The empirical signature of this arrangement is repetitive crisis: depreciation, rescue intervention, austerity, temporary stabilisation, relapse. Such cyclicality is not evidence of weak central bank professionalism, but the consequence of issuing money without structural collateral. When domestic currency has no demonstrable anchoring in domestic wealth, the only stabilisation tools available become interest-rate tightening, fiscal compression, reserve depletion, and external borrowing. The result is not sovereignty, but stabilisation-by-approval.

Resource-Based Monetary Sovereignty (RBMS) represents the reversal of that design error. By tying issuance to endogenous wealth, it moves authority from declarative premise ('we issue because we are sovereign') to evidential basis ('our currency is backed by what we own'). In this shift, value ceases to depend on sentiment. It becomes materially anchored.

The logic of this redesign becomes most visible when juxtaposed with Ghana's export posture. Ghana is the world's sixth-largest gold producer, Africa's largest, and the world's second-largest cocoa producer. It holds commercially viable quantities of lithium, bauxite, manganese, industrial clay, and offshore hydrocarbon reserves. Yet the currency associated with these assets must routinely be stabilised through externally denominated loans and conditionality. Such a configuration is institutionally incoherent: national assets create external liquidity while domestic currency remains unbacked internally. The problem is thus not scarcity of wealth—Ghana is abundance-rich—but the absence of institutional retention mechanisms.

Gold leaves the country through repatriation obligations to foreign mining firms; artisanal gold flows are smuggled; cocoa is exported raw and priced externally; oil receipts are securitised offshore; and bauxite remains largely unrefined. Liquidity that should be domestically collateralising the currency exits national space, leaving the Cedi to circulate without corresponding anchor. RBMS reverses this sequence by internalising value before it exits.

The transformative potential can be illustrated empirically. Table 4 uses average annual volumes of Ghana's top export commodities and shows how tokenising even 25% of their retained domestic value could fundamentally alter the liquidity structure of the national economy.

Table 4. Estimated Domestic Financing Potential from Tokenising 25% of Export Values

Resource	Average Annual Export Value (USD)	25% Retention/ Tokenisation Value (USD)
Gold	$6.6 billion	$1.65 billion
Cocoa	$2.2 billion	$550 million
Oil and gas	$3.0 billion	$750 million
Bauxite and alumina	$650 million	$162.5 million
Manganese	$400 million	$100 million
Lithium*	$500 million (projected)	$125 million

Sources:(Based on average export values, 2021–2023 IMF, MoFEP and BoG datasets. For Early 2026 valuation estimates; see Ministry of Lands and Natural Resources, 2025).
Notes: Estimated potential annual domestic monetary anchor: $3.34 billion – $3.5 billion.

This estimated USD 3.3–3.5 billion in tokenisable resource value is greater than the actual capital expenditure allocations Ghana has managed to finance in recent years, including those funded through Eurobond issuances and concessional borrowing. For instance, the cumulative disbursement under the 17th IMF-supported Extended Credit Facility amounts to roughly USD 3 billion—almost identical in magnitude to what a single year of systematic reserve tokenisation could generate domestically. In other words, three cycles of endogenous reserve retention would yield financing equivalent to an entire IMF programme, yet achieved without external conditionalities, currency risk, or repayment obligations.

The deeper insight lies in recognising the broader, monetisable value reservoirs that remain overlooked because they do not fit neatly within colonial accounting systems or orthodox macroeconomic valuation. Ghana's artistic heritage, indigenous science traditions, ecological ethnobotany, spiritual cosmologies, and cultural intellectual property represent substantial intangible wealth—yet they exist today as undocumented capital, circulating outside measurable value systems.

Global valuation exercises provide a useful comparative lens. UNESCO estimates the economic value of the global cultural and creative industries at over USD 2.4 trillion annually, with Africa contributing less than 1 per cent—not because African cultures lack value, but because they remain unmonetised, unregistered, and un-tokenised. Ghanaian textile identities such as Kente and Fugu, Adinkra symbolism and Kassena riddles and stories, indigenous rhythmic structures foundational to Afrobeats, traditional medicinal knowledge, and spiritual artefacts are not merely aesthetic traditions. They are industrial-design systems and intellectual frameworks with expansive commercial potential. A Ghanaian knowledge repository covering indigenous botanical cures alone could easily have an implied valuation exceeding USD 1–1.5 billion based on comparative global pharmaceutical licensing benchmarks. The contemporary creative economy already hints at this value: Afrobeats streaming revenues exceed USD 500 million annually; Ghana's cultural share of this is marginal only because its rights administration and sovereign IP registration systems are underdeveloped.

Under RBMS, these non-physical assets become codifiable, documentable, and convertible into sovereign intangible wealth portfolios. Tokenised knowledge in this context is the underlying logic of patent securitisation, intellectual property licensing, pharmaceutical royalty markets, international heritage tourism, digital collectibles, and cultural export valuation. When Japanese Shinto practices, Nordic sagas, or Native American tribal artefacts become monetised museums, protected designations, and licensing frameworks, they become IP-backed economic systems. Ghana's epistemic heritage and ancestral veneration systems can do the same, once recognised, tokenised, priced, and internationally defended.

A nation's monetary sovereignty, therefore, cannot be restricted to what is dug out of the ground. It can, and must be grounded in the accumulated civilisational knowledge that defines its identity. For Ghana, centuries of indigenous gold-weighing technology, the mathematics embedded in Kasem cosmology, the metaphysical framing of time and custodial land ownership, and the ecological knowledge systems that sustained cultivation cycles constitute repositories of high-value intangible capital.

RBMS provides the framework through which these value systems ceases being cultural memory and become sovereign assets. Tokenised claims on knowledge repositories—curated as digital archives, perpetual licensing rights, and heritage-backed securities—could enter the national asset ledger in the same way that gold ounces and cocoa futures do today. Even a modest securitisation of national cultural assets at internationally verifiable valuation rates could conservatively yield USD 800 million to USD 1.2 billion over medium-term horizons—without extraction, environmental degradation, or external borrowing.

Ghana does not lack wealth; it lacks valuation systems that convert abundant assets into usable monetary form. Poverty is thus not material absence; it is imaginative insolvency. Our accounting systems underwrite deficit, while our civilisation holds surplus. RBMS directly addresses this conceptual disjuncture. It transforms endogenous value into circulating liquidity, embeds sovereignty into material backing, and repositions culture, knowledge, land ethics, and mineral endowments as wealth-bearing instruments. In short, RBMS resolves our most significant economic contradiction: that a nation structurally endowed with abundance has, for decades, behaved as one constrained by scarcity.

4.5. Institutional Logic and the End of Reactive Central Banking

The transition to RBMS alters the temporal character of central banking. Under fiat, institutional time is entirely backward-looking and defensive. Every depreciation invokes emergency liquidity contraction; every inflation shock activates rate tightening; every reserves decline compels auction of scarce dollars. Central banking becomes perpetual triage. The objective is containment, not transformation.

RBMS reverses this. When issuance is quasi-indexed to retained reserves, policy becomes anticipatory and strategic. Long-term deposits stabilise because value is not expectation-anchored but reserve-anchored. Domestic liquidity stays internal, reducing the compulsion to dollarise savings or hold wealth in external sovereigns. Banks lower sovereign-risk premiums not because inflation is projected downward, but because the value of the unit of currency is transparently collateralised. The central bank ceases to function as liquidity guardrail and becomes steward of wealth.

This shift unlocks domestic capital formation. Treasury-bill arbitrage stops crowding out industrial credit because domestic investment becomes rationally priced. The state regains developmental planning bandwidth. Instead of adjusting downward to avoid ratings downgrades, liquidity flows to the very projects that expand the resource-reserve cycle: industrial smelting, agro-processing, strategic mineral beneficiation, and innovation infrastructure. Monetary policy moves from reactive neutrality to developmental intentionality.

4.6. Technological Embodiment: The ERBC Path

RBMS reaches full operational maturity through Endogenous Resource-Backed Currencies (ERBC). ERBC makes reserving visible, quantifiable, and algorithmically auditable. The transitional DCedi envisioned within this logic becomes asset-verified digital claim. Blockchain protocols displace opacity. Gold acquisition increases the token-issuance ceiling; retained cocoa revenue increases reserve depth; lithium monetisation translates into programmable issuance bands. What was previously managed as narrative becomes measurable collateral-ratio logic.

Existing institutional innovations within Ghana already foreshadow this logic. Platforms such as G-Wallet, within the broader Nabiya Qapital ecosystem, introduce the possibility of tokenising gold reserves, cocoa income streams or bauxite refining entitlements into sovereign-certified financial instruments. This redefines domestic investment capacity. Households and institutional investors can hold monetary instruments whose value is observable rather than speculative. Foreign capital no longer enters through debt underwriting; it engages as purchase of verifiable sovereign-backed units. ERBC therefore operationalises sovereignty rather than invoking it; value is transacted through authenticated collateral rather than through fiat-mandated confidence.

4.7. Ending Fire-Fighting Economics

Fiat regimes produce a macroeconomic paradox: stabilisation displaces growth. Each episode of depreciation forces contraction of domestic credit; each period of inflation forces fiscal consolidation; every downgrade interrupt capital expenditure. RBMS reverses this sequencing. Stability becomes structural baseline rather than objective. Policy bandwidth is released from emergency intervention and directed toward productive allocation. The central bank ceases to be guardian of a fragile price level and becomes architect of capital flows. Industrial ecosystems emerge as beneficiaries of structural anchoring.

This shift also restores temporal coherence to development planning through strategic infrastructure such as hydro grids, rail logistics corridors, integrated processing estates. Industrialisation becomes rational activity, transitioning the economy away from a logic of interruption, towards a logic of continuity.

4.8. Ghana's Strategic Necessity

At sixty, institutions must show maturity, intentionality, grounding, and self-determined direction. Yet, the Cedi, as an emblem of postcolonial sovereignty, continues to behave as though it requires external supervision to stand, external validation to function, and external liquidity to survive. This is no longer defensible.

Maturity means internalising value rather than outsourcing it, anchoring credibility in Ghanaian resources rather than foreign reserves, and financing growth through endogenous wealth rather than crisis-based borrowing.

RBMS is the institutional adulthood the Cedi requires. It converts wealth that already exists into monetary form and gives the Cedi the dignity of representing what belongs to Ghana. At sixty, the Cedi must cease requesting permission to exist; it must compel recognition through evidence of backing. Its next phase must move from "stability produced through intervention" to "stability inherited through design." A mature currency—like a mature person—must build, retain, and deploy its own wealth. Under RBMS, the Cedi finally stops performing sovereignty and starts living it.

5. Concluding thoughts

In closing, the Cedi at sixty stands at a historical threshold. The anniversary confronts a question of institutional adulthood. If the first sixty years of the Cedi represented symbolic sovereignty, the next sixty must deliver structural sovereignty. The currency must cease to be emblematic of aspiration and become a certificate of possession anchored in Ghana's abundant gold, sheanuts, cocoa, lithium, and indigenous knowledge systems.

Three policy turnarounds could make this possible.

First, Ghana must institutionalise partial resource retention. A statutory requirement to retain a measurable proportion of gold, cocoa earnings, and mineral royalties in sovereign vaults would shift the currency from belief-backed to evidence-backed. This reserve-anchoring must be transparent, audited quarterly, and housed within an independent sovereign monetary custodian.

Second, currency issuance must be tied to reserve evolution. As reserves deepen, liquidity can expand; when reserves decline, issuance must slow. This moves the country away from inflation management by interest-rate force and toward structural price stability.

Third, Ghana must establish an endogenous tokenisation framework, integrating sovereign-verified commodity-backed digital units. This architecture transforms static assets into investable liquidity, allowing citizens, diaspora investors, corporate entities, and domestic institutions to hold monetised claims on Ghana's wealth.

These turnarounds do not reject macroprudential modernisation; they perfect it. Inflation targeting becomes complemented by structural backing; reserve management shifts from defensive accumulation to strategic retention; capital markets evolve from speculative demand to productive circulation.

With Resource-Based Monetary Sovereignty (RBMS) as design logic and Endogenous Resource-Backed Currencies (ERBC) as operational framework, Ghana can finally reverse the paradox of exporting wealth while importing credibility. This is the future the Cedi must now inhabit: sovereignty lived, not commemorated.

References

1. ACEP. (2023). *Assessment of the Agyapa royalties deal and lessons for extractive sector transparency in Ghana.* Africa Centre for Energy Policy.

2. Akosah, N. K., Alagidede, I. P., & Schaling, E. (2020). Interest rate and exchange rate spillovers: Multiscale perspective of monetary policy transmission in Ghana. *Journal of Central Banking Theory and Practice*, 135–167. https://doi.org/10.2478/jcbtp-2020-0008

3. Akosah, N. K., Alagidede, I. P., & Schaling, E. (2021). Dynamics of money market interest in Ghana: Time-frequency analysis of volatility spillovers. *South African Journal of Economics, 89*(4), 555–589. https://doi.org/10.1111/saje.12287

4. Alagidede, Y. I. P. (2025a). From cowries to crypto: The long arc of monetary policy in Africa. *African Review of Economics and Finance, 17*(2).

5. Alagidede, Y. I. P. (2025b). Metanomics and the obsolescence of debt: A resource-backed alternative to the global financial order. *Journal of African Political Economy and Development, 10.*

6. Amin, S. (1976). *Unequal development: An essay on the social formations of peripheral capitalism.* Monthly Review Press.

7. Aron, J., Muellbauer, J., & Sebold, A. (2014). Inflation dynamics and exchange-rate pass-through in Ghana. *Journal of African Economies, 23*(Suppl. 2), ii51–ii80. https://doi.org/10.1093/jae/eju024

8. Aryeetey, E. (2009). *Informal finance and economic development in Africa: Theory and evidence.* African Economic Research Consortium (AERC).

9. Aryeetey, E., & Harrigan, J. (2000). Macroeconomic rehabilitation of Ghana. In E. Aryeetey, J. Harrigan, & M. Nissanke (Eds.), *Economic reforms in Ghana: The miracle and the mirage* (pp. 1–36). James Currey.

10. Bank of Ghana. (2003). *Annual economic report.* Bank of Ghana.

11. Bank of Ghana. (2018). *Sixty years of central banking in Ghana.* Bank of Ghana.

12. Bank of Ghana. (2025). Monetary policy summary: April 2025. Bank of Ghana.

13. Bloomberg. (2023). African Eurobond market performance data. Bloomberg Professional Terminal.

14. Boamah, N. A. (2015). Exchange rate volatility and inflation uncertainty in Ghana. *African Finance Journal, 17*(1), 56–75.

15. Bwire, T., & Nakatani, R. (2010). Transmission mechanisms of monetary policy in low-income countries: Evidence from Ghana. *International Monetary Fund Working Paper.*

16. Cohen, B. (1998). *The geography of money.* Cornell University Press.

17. Ghana Statistical Service. (2022). *Consumer price index report: December 2022.* Ghana Statistical Service.

18. Ghana Statistical Service. (2023). *Quarterly macroeconomic bulletin.* Ghana Statistical Service.

19. Helleiner, E. (1994). *States and the re-emergence of global finance.* Cornell University Press.

20. Helleiner, E. (2003). *The making of national money.* Cornell University Press.

21. Hutchful, E. (2002). *Ghana's adjustment experience: The paradox of reform.* James Currey.

22. Iddrisu, A. A., & Alagidede, I. P. (2020). Revisiting interest rate and lending channels of monetary policy transmission in the light of theoretical prescriptions. *Central Bank Review, 20*(4), 183–192.

23. International Development Research Centre. (1984). *Commodity price movements and African export revenues.* IDRC.

24. International Food Policy Research Institute. (2007). *Cocoa supply responses in Ghana.* IFPRI.

25. International Monetary Fund. (1987). *Ghana: Stabilisation programme review.* IMF.

26. International Monetary Fund. (2006). *Ghana: Completion document under HIPC.* IMF.

27. International Monetary Fund. (2015). Ghana—Request for Extended Credit Facility. *IMF Policy Notes.*

28. International Monetary Fund. (2021). Inflation dynamics and exchange-rate pass-through in Sub-Saharan Africa. *IMF Working Paper Series.*

29. IMF & World Bank. (2005). *HIPC completion document: Ghana.* IMF & World Bank.

30. Killick, T. (1978). *Development economics in action: A study of economic policies in Ghana.* Heinemann.

31. Ministry of Finance and Economic Planning. (2013). *Annual public debt management report.* Government of Ghana.

32. Nkrumah, K. (1965). *Neo-colonialism: The last stage of imperialism.* Thomas Nelson & Sons.

33. Ocran, M. (2010). Monetary policy and inflation dynamics in Ghana. *Journal of Monetary Studies.*

34. Public Utilities Regulatory Commission. (2022). *Annual sector performance review.* PURC.

35. Rodney, W. (1972). *How Europe underdeveloped Africa.* Bogle-L'Ouverture Publications.

36. World Bank. (2012). *Ghana economic outlook.* World Bank.

37. World Bank. (2024). *Poverty projections and growth trends: Ghana policy note.* World Bank.